

**Source of Payment Typology Collaboration and Maintenance  
Annual Conference Call  
April 1, 2010**

**Participants**

Missy Jamison – NCHS  
Marjorie Greenberg – NCHS  
Amy Bernstein - NCHS  
Bob Davis – NCHS  
Ginger Cox – California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development  
Sheila Frank -  
Roxanne Andrews – AHRQ  
Kathy Trytten – Iowa  
Jodi Kohl - Wyoming  
Sue Ellen – Arkansas  
Gail Kayfes – Minnesota  
Bonnie Teveer – Minnesota  
Barry Gordon – California Cancer Registry  
Bruce Burns – Texas  
Ric Ordos – Washington State  
Ann Lema – Washington State  
Kathy Kosek – New York State  
Amy Wenmoth – West Virginia  
Majita Kdeiss - Arkansas

**Agenda**

- Introductions
- Overview of “standards” status of the Source of Payment Typology
- Maintenance requests to be considered.
- General Comments on Typology
- Adjournment

**Meeting Notes:**

- Introductions
- Overview of “standards” status of the Source of Payment Typology
  - It was reported that the Source of Payment Typology is now referenced as an external code list to the ANSI X12 standards. This value set will be included for reporting in all the claim (837) guides starting with the publication of the next set of ANSI X12 implementation guides (6020). However, until the publication of the 6020 guides, reference to the Source of Payment Typology

in previous guides (4010 and 5010) may be done using “filler” areas of the existing claims standards.

- The Source of Payment Typology is also recognized as an external value set in the HL7 standards,
  - The UB-04 specification’s document has been updated to include reference to the Source of Payment Typology in the part of the UB form that identifies external code lists.
  - The States of Oregon and Georgia have updated their systems to collect the Source of Payment information. The State of New York will be implementing the use of this value set before the end of this calendar year.
  - There was a request to include information about the availability of the Source of Payment Typology in the X12, HL7 and UB standards on the Consortium web site.
- There were no requests to modify the Source of Payment Typology this year.
  - Comments on the Source of Payment Typology
    - It was reported that Amy Bernstein was asked to discuss the Source of Payment Typology before the Clinical Operations Workgroup Task Force on Vocabulary, a sub-committee of the HIT Standards Committee of the Office of the National Coordinator (ONC). There was one comment at that meeting from Stewart Nelson, National Library of Medicine, that the Typology could benefit from the expertise of a terminology specialist. Amy was receptive to such a recommendation, but emphasized the importance of maintaining the “implementability” of the Source of Payment Typology.
    - Since payment type is mentioned in the Meaningful Use Interim Final Rule (IFR), there was a question about steps that are being taken to have the Source of Payment Typology become the standard for this use. Marjorie Greenberg commented that the Source of Payment Typology was recommended as a standard in comments on the IFR provided by the Public Health Data Standards Consortium.
    - There was a question about what version of the ANSI X12 standard supports the Source of Payment Typology. The answer is the 6020 version of implementation guides contain a special location for Source of Payment Typology, but that all X12 transactions currently provide alternate ways to report data that will be fully incorporated into the standard in future versions.
    - There was also a question about the effective date for the Source of Payment Typology in the UB-04 specifications. That date is July 1, 2009.
    - There was a comment about a possible inconsistency between the User Guide and the Typology for the mapping of the Unknown category in the Appendix A. The committee will research this issue and make any appropriate changes.
    - There was a recommendation to change the UNKNOWN category mnemonic, which is currently ZZZ, to a non-zero numeric to be consistent with the other mnemonics in the typology. The User Guide defines the codes as Alpha-Numeric, but to date only numeric codes have been used. The committee agreed to take this request under consideration.
    - There was a question about trying to standardize some potential local codes such as county insured versus other local insurance. This is an issue for both

California and New York. The committee reiterated that the purpose of these yearly collaboration and maintenance calls was to address issues such as standardizing local variations in the Source of Payment Typology.

- The meeting was adjourned at 2:45pm.